

Lebesgue and Hardy Spaces for Symmetric Norms II: A Vector-Valued Beurling Theorem

Yanni Chen, Don Hadwin, and Ye Zhang

ABSTRACT. Suppose α is a rotationally symmetric norm on $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and β is a "nice" norm on $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ where μ is a σ -finite measure on Ω . We prove a version of Beurling's invariant subspace theorem for the space $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$. Our proof uses the version of Beurling's theorem on $H^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ in [4] and measurable cross-section techniques. Our result significantly extends a result of H. Rezaei, S. Talebzadeh, and D. Y. Shin [8].

1. Introduction

Among the classical results that exemplify strong links between complex analysis and operator theory, one of the most prominent places is occupied by the description of all shift-invariant subspaces in the Hardy spaces and its numerous generalizations (see [1], [7], [9] and [11]). The original statement concerning the space H^2 of functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ was proved by A. Beurling [2], [6], and was later extended to H^p classes by T. P. Srinivasan [10]. Further generalizations covering the vector-valued Hardy spaces (attributed to P. Lax, H. Helson, D. Lowdenslager, P. R. Halmos, J. Rovnyak and L. de Branges, but usually referred to as the Halmos-Beurling-Lax Theorem) were used to obtain a functional model for a class of subnormal operators. In [4], the first author extended the H^p result by replacing the p -norms with continuous rotationally symmetric norms α on $L^\infty(m)$, where m is Haar measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, and defining H^α to be the α -completion of the set of polynomials. Recently, H. Rezaei, S. Talebzadeh, D. Y. Shin [8] described certain shift-invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{H})$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space and $\mathbb{D}$ is the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. In this paper, we prove a very general version of Beurling's theorem that includes the results in [8] and [4, Theorem 7.8] as very special cases. A key ingredient is the theory of measurable cross-sections [2].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A15, 30H10; Secondary 46E15.

Key words and phrases. Hardy space, rotationally symmetric norm, Beurling's theorem, invariant subspace, measurable cross-section.

This work supported in part by a UNH Dissertation Fellowship, the Eric Nordgren Research Fellowship, and a grant from the Simons Foundation.

2. Preliminaries

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p := H^p(\mathbb{D})$ is the space of all holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p} := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

An *inner function* $\phi \in H^2$ is a bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ with non-tangential boundary values of modulus 1 a.e. m , where m is normalized arc-length measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$.

Suppose (Ω, μ) is a σ -finite measure space such that $L^1(\mu)$ is separable. Let $L_0^\infty(\mu)$ denote the set of (equivalence classes of) bounded measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(f^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})) < \infty$, and let β be a norm on $L_0^\infty(\mu)$ such that

- (1) $\beta(f) = \beta(|f|)$,
- (2) $\lim_{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0} \beta(\chi_E) = 0$,
- (3) $\beta(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies $\chi_E f_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure for each E with $\mu(E) < \infty$.

Examples of such norms are the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$. We define $L^\beta(\mu)$ to be the completion of $L_0^\infty(\mu)$ with respect to β . At this point we do not know that the elements of $L^\beta(\mu)$ can be represented as measurable functions. This follows from part (5) of the following lemma, which also includes some basic facts about such norms β .

LEMMA 1. *The following statements are true for (Ω, μ) and β as above.*

- (1) *If $|f| \leq |g|$, then $\beta(f) \leq \beta(g)$ whenever $f, g \in L_0^\infty(\mu)$;*
- (2) *$\beta(wf) \leq \|w\|_\infty \beta(f)$ whenever $f \in L_0^\infty(\mu)$ and $w \in L^\infty(\mu)$;*
- (3) *The multiplication $wf = fw$ can be extended from part (2) to the case where $f \in L^\beta(\mu)$ and $w \in L^\infty(\mu)$, so that $\beta(wf) \leq \|w\|_\infty \beta(f)$ still holds, i.e., $L^\beta(\mu)$ is an $L^\infty(\mu)$ -bimodule;*
- (4) *If $\{E_n\}$ is a sequence of measurable sets such that $\mu(E_n \cap F) \rightarrow 0$ for every F with $\mu(F) < \infty$, then $\beta(\chi_{E_n} f) \rightarrow 0$ for every $f \in L^\beta(\mu)$;*
- (5) *If $\{f_n\}$ is a β -Cauchy sequence in $L_0^\infty(\mu)$ and $\chi_E f_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure for each E with $\mu(E) < \infty$, then $\beta(f_n) \rightarrow 0$;*
- (6) *If $|f| \leq |g|$ and $g \in L^\beta(\mu)$, then $f \in L^\beta(\mu)$ and $\beta(f) \leq \beta(g)$;*
- (7) *If $h \in L^\beta(\mu)$, $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence, $|f_n| \leq h$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\chi_E |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ in measure for every $E \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$, then $f \in L^\beta(\mu)$ and $\beta(f_n - f) \rightarrow 0$;*
- (8) *$L^\beta(\mu)$ is a separable Banach space.*

PROOF. (1). If $|f| \leq |g|$, then there are two measurable functions u, v with $|u| = |v| = 1$ and $f = g(u + v)/2$, which implies $\beta(f) \leq [\beta(|ug|) + \beta(|vg|)]/2 = \beta(|g|) = \beta(g)$.

(2). Since $|wf| \leq \|w\|_\infty |f|$, it follows from part (1) that

$$\beta(wf) \leq \beta(\|w\|_\infty |f|) = \|w\|_\infty \beta(f).$$

(3). The mapping $M_w : L_0^\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L_0^\infty(\mu)$ defined by $M_w f = wf = fw$ is bounded on $L_0^\infty(\mu)$ equipped with the norm β , so it has a unique bounded linear extension to the completion $L^\beta(\mu)$.

(4). Suppose $\{E_n\}$ is a sequence of measurable sets such that $\mu(E_n \cap F) \rightarrow 0$ for every F with $\mu(F) < \infty$. Define $T_n : L^\beta(\mu) \rightarrow L^\beta(\mu)$ by $T_n f = \chi_{E_n} f$. The

set $\mathcal{E} = \{f \in L^\beta(\mu) : \beta(T_n f) \rightarrow 0\}$ is a closed linear subspace. If $f \in L_0^\infty(\mu)$, then there is a set F with $\mu(F) < \infty$ such that $f = \chi_F f$, so

$$\beta(T_n f) \leq \|f\|_\infty \beta(\chi_{E_n \cap F}) \rightarrow 0$$

since $\mu(E_n \cap F) \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$L^\beta(\mu) \subset L_0^\infty(\mu)^{-\beta} \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

(5). By definition there is an $f \in L^\beta(\mu)$ such that $\beta(f_n - f) \rightarrow 0$. Choose M so that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \beta(f_n) < M < \infty$. At this point we do not know that f is a measurable function. Suppose $\mu(F) < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It easily follows from part (4) that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that when $E \subset F$ and $\mu(E) < \delta$, we have $\beta(\chi_E f) < \varepsilon/4$. There is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \implies \beta(f_n - f) < \varepsilon/4$. Thus $n \geq N$ and $E \subset F$ and $\mu(E) < \delta$ implies

$$\beta(\chi_E f_n) \leq \beta(\chi_E (f_n - f)) + \beta(\chi_E f) < \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon/2.$$

Since $f_n \chi_F \rightarrow 0$ in measure, there is an $N_1 > N$ such that if $n \geq N_1$ and if $F_n = \{x \in F : |f_n(x)| \geq \varepsilon/4 \beta(\chi_F)\}$, then $\mu(F_n) < \delta$. Thus $n \geq N_1$ implies

$$\beta(\chi_F f_n) \leq \beta(\chi_{F_n} f_n) + (\varepsilon/4 \beta(\chi_F)) \beta(\chi_F) < \varepsilon.$$

So $\chi_F f = 0$ for every $F \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(F) < \infty$. It follows from part (4) and the fact that μ is σ -finite that $\beta(f) = \lim \beta(f_n) = 0$.

(6). Suppose $|f| \leq |g|$ and $g \in L^\beta(\mu)$. We know from part (5) that g is a measurable function so there is a $w \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $f = wg \in L^\beta(\mu)$ (by part (2)).

(7). Assume the hypothesis of part (7) holds and suppose $\varepsilon > 0$. Since μ is σ -finite, it follows that there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ that converges to f a.e. (μ) . Hence $|f| \leq h$ a.e. (μ) . Then, by part (4), there is a δ such that $E \subset \Omega$ and $\mu(E) < \delta$ implies $\beta(\chi_E h) < \varepsilon/5$. By part (6) it follows that if $\mu(E) < \delta$, then $\beta(\chi_E f), \beta(\chi_E f_n) \leq \beta(\chi_E h) < \varepsilon/5$ for every $n \geq 1$. We also know from part (4) that there is a set F with $\mu(F) < \infty$ such that $\beta((1 - \chi_F)h) < \varepsilon/5$, which implies $\beta((1 - \chi_F)f), \beta((1 - \chi_F)f_n) < \varepsilon/5$ for every $n \geq 1$. But $f_n \chi_F \rightarrow f \chi_F$ in measure, so that if $E_n = \{\omega \in F : |f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/5 \beta(\chi_F)\}$, then $\mu(E_n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $n \geq N$ implies $\mu(E_n) < \delta$, which implies

$$\beta(f_n - f) \leq$$

$$\beta(f_n \chi_{E_n}) + \beta(f \chi_{E_n}) + \beta((1 - \chi_F)f) + \beta((1 - \chi_F)f_n) + \beta(\chi_{F \setminus E_n} [\varepsilon/5 \beta(\chi_F)]) < \varepsilon.$$

(8). It is clear that $L^\beta(\mu)$ is a Banach space. Write $\Omega = \cup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n$ where $\{\Omega_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets with $\mu(\Omega_n) < \infty$ for $n \geq 1$. Since $L^1(\mu)$ is separable, we can find a countable subset $\mathcal{W}_n$ that is a $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dense subset of $\chi_{\Omega_n} \{f \in L^\infty(\mu) : |f| \leq n\}$. It follows from part (7) that $\mathcal{W}_n^{-\beta}$ contains $\chi_{\Omega_n} L_0^\infty(\mu)$, and if we let $\mathcal{W} = \cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_n$, it follows from $\mathcal{W}^{-\beta}$ contains $L_0^\infty(\mu)^{-\beta} = L^\beta(\mu)$. Hence $L^\beta(\mu)$ is separable. $\square$

Suppose X is a separable Banach space and define

$$L^\beta(\mu, X) = \{f|f : \Omega \rightarrow X \text{ is measurable and } \|\cdot\| \circ f \in L^\beta(\mu)\}.$$

If $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$, define $|f| : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$|f|(\omega) = \|f(\omega)\|,$$

i.e., $|f| = \|\cdot\| \circ f$. It is clear that if we define $\beta(f) = \beta(\|\cdot\| \circ f)$, then $L^\beta(\mu, X)$ is a Banach space. Moreover, $L^\beta(\mu, X)$ is an $L^\infty(\mu)$ -module if we define φf with $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $f \in L^\beta(\mu, X)$ by

$$(\varphi f)(\omega) = \varphi(\omega) f(\omega) \in X.$$

It is clear from part (1) of Lemma 1 that

$$\beta(\varphi f) \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \beta(f).$$

Since

$$|\chi_E f| = \chi_E |f|$$

for every $f \in L^\beta(\mu, X)$, it easily follows that parts (2), (4), (6) and (7) in Lemma 1 remain true if $f \in L^\beta(\mu, X)$.

LEMMA 2. *If X is separable, then $L^\beta(\mu, X)$ is separable.*

PROOF. It is well known [5] that $L^1(\mu, X)$ is separable. We can imitate the proof of part (8) of Lemma 1 to get the desired conclusion. $\square$

Recall that α is a *rotationally symmetric norm* on $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ if

- (1) $\alpha(1) = 1$,
- (2) $\alpha(f) = \alpha(|f|)$,
- (3) If $g(z) = f(e^{i\theta}z)$ ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}$), then $\alpha(f) = \alpha(g)$.

We say that a rotationally symmetric norm α is *continuous* if

$$\lim_{m(E) \rightarrow 0} \alpha(\chi_E) = 0.$$

If α is a continuous rotationally symmetric norm on $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, the space H^α is defined in the first part to be the α -closure of the linear span of $\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$. It is clear that H^α is separable and $H^\infty \subset H^\alpha$. We also obtained a new version of Beurling's theorem in the first part, namely, that if $M \neq \{0\}$ is a closed linear subspace of H^α and $zM \subset M$, then $M = \varphi H^\alpha$ for some inner function $\varphi \in H^\infty$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is separable.

We define $L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$ to be the set of (equivalence classes) of bounded functions $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow H^\infty$ that are weak*-measurable, and we define $\|\Phi\|_\infty$ to be the essential supremum of $\|\cdot\|_\infty \circ \Phi$. It is clear that $L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$ is a Banach algebra, and, since H^α is an H^∞ -module, we can make $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ an $L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$ -module by

$$(\Phi f)(\omega) = \Phi(\omega) f(\omega).$$

It is also clear

$$\beta(\Phi f) \leq \|\Phi\|_\infty \beta(f).$$

We can also define the *shift operator* S on $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ by

$$((Sf)(\omega))(z) = z(f(\omega))(z).$$

It is clear that S is an isometry on $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ and that S is an $L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$ -module homomorphism, i.e.,

$$S(\Phi f) = \Phi(Sf)$$

whenever $f \in L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ and $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$.

3. The Main Result

Our main result (Theorem 1) is a generalization of the classical Beurling theorem for H^p [10] and its extension to H^α [4, Theorem 7.8]. A key tool is a result on measurable cross-sections taken from [2]. A subset A of a separable metric space Y is *absolutely measurable* if A is μ -measurable for every σ -finite Borel measure μ on Y . A function with domain Y is *absolutely measurable* if the inverse image of every Borel set is absolutely measurable.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose E is a Borel subset of a complete separable metric space and Y is a separable metric space and $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Then $\pi(E)$ is an absolutely measurable subset of Y and there is an absolutely measurable function $\rho : \pi(E) \rightarrow E$ such that $(\pi \circ \rho)(y) = y$ for every $y \in \pi(E)$.*

THEOREM 1. *A closed linear subspace M of $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is an $L^\infty(\mu)$ -submodule with $S(M) \subset M$ if and only if there is a $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$ such that*

- (1) *For every $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $\Phi(\omega) = 0$ or $\Phi(\omega)$ is an inner function,*
- (2) *$M = \Phi L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$.*

PROOF. Suppose (1), (2) are true. It is clear that $\Phi L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is a shift-invariant $L^\infty(\mu)$ -submodule. Let $E = \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi(\omega) \neq 0\}$. Then $\chi_E L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is clearly closed and multiplication by Φ is an isometry on $\chi_E L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$. Hence $\Phi L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is closed.

Conversely, suppose M is a shift invariant $L^\infty(\mu)$ -submodule of $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$. Since $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is separable, M must be separable. We can choose a countable subset $\mathcal{F}$ of M such that $\mathcal{F}$ is dense in M , $S(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector space over the field $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ of complex-rational numbers. The elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are equivalence classes, but we can choose actual functions to represent $\mathcal{F}$. Then, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, define M_ω to be the H^α -closure of $\{f(\omega) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Claim: $M = \{h \in L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha) : h(\omega) \in M_\omega \text{ a.e. } (\mu)\}$.

Proof of Claim: Suppose $h \in M$. Then there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\beta(f_n - h) = \beta(\alpha \circ (f_n - h)) \rightarrow 0$. We know μ is σ -finite, so there is an increasing sequence $\{\Omega_k\}$ of sets of finite measure whose union is Ω . Since $\{\alpha \circ (f_n - h)\}$ is a sequence in $L^\beta(\mu)$, it follows from part (3) in the definition of β and the fact that μ is σ -finite, that $\chi_{\Omega_k} \alpha \circ (f_n - h) \rightarrow 0$ in measure for each $k \geq 1$, which, via the Cantor diagonalization argument, implies that there is a subsequence $\{\alpha \circ (f_{n_k} - h)\}$ that converges to 0 a.e. (μ) . Thus, for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $\alpha(f_{n_k}(\omega) - h(\omega)) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $h(\omega) \in M_\omega$ a.e. (μ) .

Conversely, suppose $h \in L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ and $h(\omega) \in M_\omega$ a.e. (μ) . By redefining $h(\omega) = 0$ on a set of measure 0, we can assume that $h(\omega) \in M_\omega$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Let

$X = H^\alpha \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^\alpha \times (0, 1) \times \mathbb{N}$ with the product topology (giving $(0, 1)$ the metric from

the homeomorphism with $\mathbb{R}$). Then X is a complete separable metric space and the set E of elements $(g, g_1, g_2, \dots, \varepsilon, n)$ such that $\alpha(g - g_n) \leq \varepsilon$ is closed in X . Hence

E is a complete separable metric space. Define $\pi : E \rightarrow Y = H^\alpha \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^\alpha \times (0, 1)$

by $\pi(g, g_1, g_2, \dots, \varepsilon, n) = (g, g_1, g_2, \dots, \varepsilon)$. It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\pi(E) = \{(g, g_1, g_2, \dots, \varepsilon) : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \alpha(g - g_n) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

is absolutely measurable and that there is an absolutely measurable cross-section $\rho : \pi(E) \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi(\rho(y)) = y$ for every $y \in \pi(E)$. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$. Since μ is σ -finite there is a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < u < 1$ and $\beta(u) \leq \varepsilon$, i.e., if Ω is a disjoint union of sets $\{E_n\}$ with finite measure, we can let

$$u = \varepsilon \sum_n \frac{\chi_{E_n}}{2^n (1 + \beta(\chi_{E_n}))}.$$

We can write $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ and define $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\Gamma(\omega) = (h(\omega), f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega), \dots, u(\omega)).$$

Since $h(\omega) \in M_\omega = \{f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega), \dots\}^{-\alpha}$, it follows that $\Gamma(\Omega) \subset \pi(E)$.

Since ρ is absolutely measurable, $\rho \circ \Gamma$ is measurable, and if we write

$$(\rho \circ \Gamma)(\omega) = (\Gamma(\omega), n(\omega)),$$

we see that $n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is measurable and

$$\alpha(f_{n(\omega)} - h(\omega)) \leq u(\omega)$$

for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $G_k = \{\omega \in \Omega : n(\omega) = k\}$. Then $\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a measurable partition of Ω and $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{G_k} f_k$ defines a measurable function from Ω to H^α . Moreover, if $\omega \in G_k$, then

$$\alpha(f(\omega) - h(\omega)) = \alpha(f_{n(\omega)} - h(\omega)) \leq u(\omega).$$

Hence $\alpha \circ (f - h) \in L^\beta(\mu)$, so $f - h \in L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ and, by part (6) of Lemma 1,

$$\beta(f - h) \leq \beta(u) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus $f = (f - h) + h \in L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$. Moreover, since M is an $L^\infty(\mu)$ -module, we have $\sum_{k=1}^N \chi_{G_k} f_k \in M$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f - \sum_{k=1}^N \chi_{G_k} f_k = \chi_{W_N} f$, where $W_N = \cup_{k>N} G_k$. But

$$\alpha((\chi_{W_N} f)(\omega)) = \chi_{W_N}(\omega) \alpha(f(\omega)) \leq (\alpha \circ f)(\omega).$$

Since $\alpha \circ f \in L^\beta(\mu)$ and $\chi_{W_N}(\omega) \alpha(f(\omega)) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise, it follows from the general dominated convergence theorem, part (7) of Lemma 1 that

$$\beta\left(f - \sum_{k=1}^N \chi_{G_k} f_k\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $f \in M$. But M is closed, $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary and $\beta(f - h) \leq \varepsilon$, so $h \in M$. This proves the claim.

We next show that $zM_\omega \subset M_\omega$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Indeed, recalling that $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ and $S(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$, we see from the fact that multiplication by z is an isometry on H^α that

$$\begin{aligned} zM_\omega &= z\{f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega), \dots\}^{-\alpha} \\ &= \{zf_1(\omega), zf_2(\omega), \dots\}^{-\alpha} \\ &= \{(Sf_1)(\omega), (Sf_2)(\omega), \dots\}^{-\alpha} \\ &\subset \{f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega), \dots\}^{-\alpha} = M_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from our version of Beurling's theorem [4, Theorem 7.8] that either each $M_\omega = 0$ or $M_\omega = \varphi H^\alpha$ for some inner function $\varphi \in H^\infty$.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of inner functions in H^∞ . The algebra H^∞ can be viewed as an algebra of (multiplication) operators on H^2 , where the weak*-topology corresponds

to the weak operator topology. The set of inner functions is not weak operator closed, but it is closed in the strong operator topology on H^2 , since the set of inner functions corresponds exactly to the operators in H^∞ that are isometries. Although the weak and strong operator topologies do not coincide, they generate the same Borel sets. Hence, the set $\mathcal{I}$ with the strong operator topology is a complete separable metric space and the Borel sets are the same as the ones from the weak*-topology.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^\alpha \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^\alpha \times \mathcal{I} \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}$ with the product topology with the strong operator topology. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of $(g_1, g_2, \dots, h_1, h_2, \dots, \varphi, n_1, n_2, \dots)$ in X such that $g_k = \varphi h_k$ and $\alpha(\varphi - g_{n_k}) < 1/k$ for $1 \leq k < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a closed subset of the complete separable metric space $\mathcal{X}$. Define $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^\alpha$ by $\pi(g_1, g_2, \dots, h_1, h_2, \dots, \varphi, n_1, n_2, \dots) = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$. Then $\pi(\mathcal{E})$ is the set of all $(g_1, g_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{Y}$ for which there is an inner function $\varphi \in \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}^{-\alpha}$ such that $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\} \subset \varphi H^\alpha$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $\pi(\mathcal{E})$ is absolutely measurable and that there is an absolutely measurable cross-section $\rho : \pi(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\pi(\rho(y)) = y$ for every $y \in \pi(\mathcal{E})$.

We know that $M_\omega = 0$ if and only if $f_n(\omega) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Hence $A = \{\omega \in \Omega : M_\omega = 0\} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}(\{0\})$ is measurable. Let $B = \Omega \setminus A$. If $\omega \in B$, then there is an inner function φ such that $M_\omega = \varphi H^\alpha$. Thus if we define $\Gamma : B \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by $\Gamma(\omega) = (f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega), \dots)$, then $\Gamma(B) \subset \pi(\mathcal{E})$. Thus $\rho \circ \Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is measurable, and if we write

$$(\rho \circ \Gamma)(\omega) = (g_{1\omega}, g_{2\omega}, \dots, h_{1\omega}, h_{2\omega}, \dots, \varphi_\omega, n_{1\omega}, n_{2\omega}, \dots),$$

we see that $\Phi(\omega) = \varphi_\omega$ when $\omega \in B$ and $\Phi(\omega) = 0$ when $\omega \in A$ defines the desired function in $L^\infty(\mu, H^\infty)$. $\square$

In [8] their version of Beurling's theorem was given for the space $H^2(\mathbb{T}, \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$, which is easily seen to be isomorphic to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, H^2(\mathbb{T}))$, and the latter is covered by our main theorem. This raises the question of whether $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}, L^\beta(\mu))$. If $\alpha = \beta = (\|\cdot\|_2 + \|\cdot\|_4)/2$ or if $\alpha = \|\cdot\|_2$ and $\beta = \|\cdot\|_4$, then these spaces are not isometrically isomorphic, i.e., consider

$$f(x, z) = \begin{cases} 1 - z & x \in E \\ 1 - 2z & x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E \end{cases},$$

where $\mu = m$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{T}$.

Thus when $\alpha = \beta$ is not a p -norm or when α and β are different p -norms, the answer is negative. However, the theorem below shows that when $\alpha = \beta = \|\cdot\|_p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, then the two spaces are the same.

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\alpha = \beta = \|\cdot\|_p$. Then $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}))$ and $H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}, L^\beta(\mu))$ are isometrically isometric.*

PROOF. Suppose $f = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$ with $a_0, \dots, a_n \in L^\alpha(\mu)$. We first view $f \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}, X)$. Then we take $|f|(z) = \alpha(f(z))$. We define $\beta(f) = \beta(|f|)$. We now consider $f \in L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}))$. Then $f(\omega)(z) = a_0(\omega) + a_1(\omega)z + \dots + a_n(\omega)z^n$.

We then define $\nu : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\nu(\omega) = \alpha(f(\omega))$. Then $\beta(f) = \beta(\nu)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f)^p &= \alpha(\beta(f(z)))^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \beta(f(z))^p dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\int_{\Omega} |a_0(\omega) + a_1(\omega)z + \cdots + a_n(\omega)z^n|^p d\mu(\omega) \right] dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} |a_0(\omega) + a_1(\omega)z + \cdots + a_n(\omega)z^n|^p dm(z) \right] d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nu(\omega)^p d\mu(\omega) = \beta(f)^p. \end{aligned}$$

The functions of the form f above are dense in both $L^\beta(\mu, H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}))$ and $H^\alpha(\mathbb{T}, L^\beta(\mu))$ (see, e.g., Proposition 6.6 in [4]); hence, these spaces are isometrically isomorphic. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Aleman, S. Richter, C. Sundberg, Beurling's theorem for the Bergman space, *Acta Math.* 177 (1996), 275-310.
- [2] W. B. Arveson, *An invitation to C*-algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [3] A. Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Math.* 81 (1949), 239-255.
- [4] Y. Chen, Lebesgue and Hardy spaces for symmetric norms I, arXiv: 1407.7920 [math. OA] (2014).
- [5] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [6] H. Helson, *Lectures on invariant subspaces*. Academic Press, New York-London 1964.
- [7] P. R. Halmos, Shifts on Hilbert spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 208 (1961), 102-112.
- [8] H. Rezaei, S. Talebzadeh, D. Y. Shin, Beurling's theorem for vector-valued Hardy spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 6 (2012), 701-707.
- [9] K. S. Saito, Invariant subspaces for finite maximal subdiagonal algebras, *Pac. J. Math.* 93 (1981), 431-434.
- [10] T. P. Srinivasan, Simply invariant subspaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69 (1963), 706-709.
- [11] B. Yousefi, E. Hesameddini, Extension of the Beurling's theorem, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 84 (2008), 167-169.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DURHAM, NH 03824, U.S.A.

E-mail address: yet2@wildcats.unh.edu

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.

E-mail address: don@unh.edu

URL: http://euclid.unh.edu/~don

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DURHAM, NH 03824, U.S.A.

E-mail address: yjg2@unh.edu